

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/899,632	BOLASH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gordon J. Stock	2877	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** after non-final

 (1) Gordon J. Stock.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Attorney Stephen Boughner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2005
**Time:** 3:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A proposed Examiner's Amendment was discussed to correct a typographical error; wherein claims 22 and 23 were inadvertently combined. In addition, an amendment to claim 23 was discussed to overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection of the previous action. Please see attached Examiner's Amendment..